Further New Bis-cembranoids from the Hainan Soft Coral Sarcophyton tortuosum

by Rui Jia^a), Yue-Wei Guo^{*a}), Ernesto Mollo^b), Margherita Gavagnin^b), and Guido Cimino^b)

 ^a) State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Institute of Materia Medica, Shanghai Institute of Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Zu Chong Zhi Rd. 555, Zhangjiang Hi-Tech Park, Shanghai 201203, P. R. China (phone: +86-21-50805813; e-mail: ywguo@mail.shcnc.ac.cn)
^b) Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare-CNR, I-80078 Pozzuoli (Na)

Two new bis-cembranoids, ximaolides F (1) and G (2), were isolated from the Hainan soft coral *Sarcophyton tortuosum*. The structures and relative configurations of the two new compounds were elucidated by the combination of spectroscopic methods, chemical conversion of ximaolide F (1) into ximaolide G (2), and comparison with related model compounds.

Introduction. – Bis-cembranoids represent an emerging group of natural products from soft corals of the genus *Sarcophyton* (family Alcyoniidae). Reports of these uncommon terpenoids from the genus *Sarcophyton* have become numerous over recent years [1-8]. Up to now, twenty bis-cembranoids have been discovered from three species of *Sarcophyton* (*S. tortuosum*, *S. latum*, and *S. glaucum*). A common structural feature among these dimeric diterpense is that all of them could biogenetically derive from two different cembranoid units through a probable *Diels*–*Alder* addition, as suggested first for the methyl isosartortuoate [1], and then, by many articles [2–8]. The complex and unique structures of these dimeric cembranoids have also attracted the attention of synthetic chemists for the total synthesis of them [9][10].

S. tortuosum is very abundant on the coral reefs in the South China Sea. Previous chemical studies have established that this animal could produce uncommon biscembranoids [1][2][6]. Recently, in the course of our ongoing research program on bioactive substances from Hainan marine invertebrates [8][11], we had reinvestigated *S. tortuosum*. In the course of this study, five new cembranoids, sarcophytonolides A - D [12], methyl tortuosoate, and five new bis-cembranoids, ximaolides A - E [7], were discovered. Further chemical investigation of the Et₂O extract of the animal now furnished two additional new bis-cembranoids, named ximaolides F^1) (1) and G^1) (2). The details of structure elucidation of compounds 1 and 2 are presented here.

Results and Discussion. – The specimen of *S. tortuosum* was collected off Ximao Island (the locality suggested the name assigned to the new bis-cembranoids), Sanya, Hainan, China, in 2002, and kept frozen until used. The usual workup [12] of the Et_2O -soluble fraction of the acetone extract of the *S. tortuosum* yielded two new compounds 1 and 2.

¹⁾ Arbitrary atom numbering; for systematic names, see *Exper. Part.*

^{© 2008} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich



Ximaolide F (1) was obtained as a highly viscous colorless oil. Its molecular formula, $C_{43}H_{66}O_{10}$, was determined by HR-ESI-MS (m/z 765.4603 ($[M + Na]^+$)). The IR spectrum (KBr) indicated the presence of absorptions characteristic of OH groups (3467, 1091 cm⁻¹), ester C=O groups (1741, 1207 cm⁻¹), and ketone C=O groups (1708 cm⁻¹). Its UV spectrum did not show any conjugated system. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR (*Table*), ¹H,¹H-COSY, HMBC, and NOESY data (*Fig.*) and their comparison with those of ximaolide E [7] established the structure of ximaolide F (1).



Figure. The ¹H, ¹H-COSY, selected key HMBC, and NOESY correlations of **1**

The NMR spectra of **1** (*Table*) showed the following functionalities: one Me ester at δ (C) 174.7 (*s*, C(20)) and 51.1 (*q*, C(41)) and δ (H) 3.48 (*s*, Me(41)), three ketone C=O groups at δ (C) 209.4 (*s*, C(13)), 213.4 (*s*, C(3)), and 213.8 (*s*, C(10)), one tri- and one tetrasubstituted C=C bond at δ (C) 129.0 (*d*, C(22)), 138.3 (*s*, C(23)), 133.2 (*s*, C(34)), and 127.3 (*s*, C(35)), two allylic Me groups at δ (H) 1.75 (*s*, Me(37)) and

2070

Table. ¹ H- and	¹³ C-NMR Data	of Compounds	1 and 2 ¹) ^a)
----------------------------	--------------------------	--------------	---

	δ(Η)			δ(C)	
	1	2		1	2
H-C(2)	3.56(t, J = 8.3)	3.49-3.51 (<i>m</i>)	C(1)	49.9 (s)	49.9 (s)
$CH_2(4)$	2.39 - 2.41 (m),	3.19 (dd, J = 20.0, 10.4),	C(2)	44.0(d)	43.5 (d)
	3.16 (dd, J = 20.4, 10.6)	2.33 - 3.35(m)	C(3)	213.4 (s)	214.0(s)
H-C(5)	1.73 - 1.76 (m)	1.76 - 1.78 (m)	C(4)	53.9 (t)	54.0 (t)
$CH_{2}(6)$	1.06 - 1.08 (m)	$1.03 - 1.05 (m)^{b}$	C(5)	27.5(d)	27.2(d)
$CH_{2}(7)$	1.08-1.10,	$1.04 - 1.05^{b}$),	C(6)	37.5 (t)	36.8 (t)
	1.24–1.26 (2 <i>m</i>)	1.22 - 1.24 (2m)	C(7)	25.6 (t)	25.0 (t)
$CH_{2}(8)$	1.50 - 1.53 (m)	1.42 - 1.44 (m)	C(8)	34.2 (t)	33.4 (t)
H-C(9)	2.48 - 1.50 (m)	2.57 - 2.59(m)	C(9)	48.1(d)	46.4(d)
$CH_2(11)$	1.87 - 1.89(m)	2.82-2.84,	C(10)	213.8(s)	213.7(s)
		1.95 - 1.97 (2m)	C(11)	31.3(t)	32.0(t)
H - C(12)	3.03 - 3.05(m)	2.98 - 3.00 (m)	C(12)	51.3(d)	52.3(d)
$CH_2(14)$	2.85, 2.95 (2d, J = 18.8)	$2.95, 2.42 \ (2d, J = 20.0)$	C(13)	209.4(s)	208.6(s)
H - C(15)	2.21 - 2.23 (m)	2.26 - 2.28(m)	C(14)	46.1(t)	45.3(t)
Me(16)	0.69(d, J = 6.8)	0.71 (d, J = 6.8)	C(15)	29.0(d)	28.8(d)
Me(17)	0.97 (d, J = 6.8)	1.00 (d, J = 6.8)	C(16)	17.6(q)	17.7(q)
Me(18)	1.11(d, J = 7.1)	1.09(d, J = 7.1)	C(17)	21.3(q)	$21.3 (q)^{b}$
Me(19)	0.84 (d, J = 7.0)	0.83 (d, J = 6.9)	C(18)	17.5(q)	17.7(q)
H-C(21)	3.50(d, J = 10.4)	3.23 (d, J = 10.5)	C(19)	22.4(q)	21.8(q)
H-C(22)	4.95 (d, J = 10.4)	4.98(d, J = 10.5)	C(20)	174.7(s)	174.5(s)
$CH_2(24)$	2.26 - 2.28 (m)	2.28 - 2.30 (m)	C(21)	43.4(d)	44.3(d)
$CH_{2}(25)$	1.71 - 1.73 (m)	1.91 – 1.93.	C(22)	129.0(d)	128.7(d)
2(-)		1.71 - 1.73 (2m)	C(23)	138.3(s)	138.4(s)
H - C(26)	4.66 (d, J = 10.6)	4.66 (d, J = 10.6)	C(24)	32.8(t)	32.7(t)
$CH_{2}(28)$	1.53-1.55.	1.54 – 1.56.	C(25)	31.2(t)	31.2(t)
2()	1.85 - 1.87(2m)	1.84 - 1.86 (2m)	C(26)	73.8(d)	73.8(d)
$CH_{2}(29)$	1.75 - 1.77 (m)	1.67 - 1.69 (m)	C(27)	83.6(s)	83.7(s)
H-C(30)	3.92 (dd, J = 11.5, 5.2)	3.91 (dd, J = 11.2, 6.0)	C(28)	36.6(t)	36.5(t)
$CH_{2}(32)$	1.41 (dd, I = 13.4, 5.5)	1.58-1.60	C(29)	27.3(t)	27.4(t)
0112(02)	2.16 (d I = 13.4)	2.22 - 2.24 (2m)	C(30)	88.9(d)	$\frac{2}{11}(d)$
H - C(33)	5.11 (dd I = 5.5, 2.7)	6.08 (dd, I = 5.4, 2.6)	C(31)	74.3(s)	74.0(s)
$CH_{2}(36)$	2.04-2.06	2.05-2.07	C(32)	40.4(t)	38.0(t)
0112(00)	2.44 - 2.47 (2m)	2.42 - 2.44 (2m)	C(33)	65.8(d)	70.0(d)
Me(37)	1.75(s)	1.86(s)	C(34)	133.2(s)	130.5(s)
Me(38)	1.77(s)	1.78(s)	C(35)	127.3(s)	128.7(s)
Me(39)	1.16(s)	1 18 (s)	C(36)	32.7(t)	330(t)
Me(40)	1.16(s)	1.10(s)	C(37)	184(a)	186(a)
Me(41)	348(s)	3.52(s)	C(38)	$20.3(a)^{b}$	20.2(q)
$A_{\rm c}O = C(27)$	2.08(a)	$2 10 (a)^{b}$	C(39)	$20.3 (q)^{b}$	20.2(q)
AcO = C(27)	2.00(q)	2.10(q)	C(40)	20.4(q)	20.5 (q) 214 (a) ^b)
		2.00 (4))	C(41)	511(a)	512(a)
			$\Delta_{C} O = C(27)$	171.2(q)	171.2(q)
			лю-с(27)	212(3),	$212(a)^{b}$
			A_{α} $C(22)$	21.2(q)	$21.5(q)^{\circ}$
			ACO-C(33)		$212(a)^{b}$
					21.3(q)

^a) Measured in CDCl₃ with a *Bruker DRX-400* spectrometer. Chemical shifts δ in ppm are referenced to CHCl₃ (δ (H) 7.26) and CDCl₃ (δ (C) 77.0); coupling constants *J* in Hz. ^b) Interchangeable values.

1.77 (s, Me(38)), an i-Pr group at $\delta(H) 0.69 (d, J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{Me}(16))$ and 0.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, Me(17)), two Me groups attached to CH at $\delta(H)$ 1.11 (d, J=7.1 Hz, Me(18)), 0.84 (d, J=7.0 Hz, Me(19)), two Me groups attached to O-bearing C-atoms at $\delta(H)$ 1.16 (s, Me(39), Me(40)), and five additional O-bearing C-atoms at $\delta(C)$ 73.8 (d), 83.6 (s), 88.9 (d), 74.3 (s), and 65.8 (d). The presence of nine Me signals and characteristic spectroscopic features, such as three ketone C=O groups and one Me ester group, etc., allowed to easily recognize that 1 should also be a dimeric cembranoid, similar to those (e.g., 3) reported previously from the same species [7]. From detailed analysis of the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of **1** associated with C-H one-bond interactions and from cross-peaks observed in the ¹H, ¹³C 2D-NMR shift-correlated spectrum, all signals of H and C could be assigned (Table). Analysis of the ¹H,¹H-COSY plot of 1 revealed the presence of seven H-atom spin systems as shown in the Figure. All the subunits, bearing in mind the three ketone C=O groups at δ (C) 209.4 (*s*, C(13)), 213.4 (*s*, C(3)), and 213.8 (*s*, C(10)), five quaternary C-atoms at δ(C) 49.9 (s, C(1)), 138.3 (s, C(23)), 83.6 (s, C(27)), 133.2 (s, C(34)), and 127.3 (s, C(35)), and one AB-type CH₂ group at $\delta(C)$ 46.1 (t, C(14)), were connected by extensive interpretation of the HMBC spectrum. The established C-atom connectivity showed that compound 1 had the same Catom skeleton as that of co-occurring ximaolide E (3) [7]. In fact, careful comparison of ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of 1 with those of 3 revealed that the 'upper-half' part (C(1) to C(20)) of 1 was identical to that of the corresponding part of 3, while the 'lower-half' part (C(21) to C(36)/(C(37)) of 1 was also very similar to that of 3, except for the absence of an exocyclic C=C bond and the appearance of a Me group attached to an O-bearing C-atom of $\mathbf{1}$ (δ (H) 1.16 (s)), and an AcO group (δ (C) 171.2 (s) and 21.2 (q)). These facts clearly indicated that the exocyclic C(39)=C(27) bond of **3** was replaced by an AcO and a Me group in **1**. The HMBC cross-peaks Me(39)/C(26), C(27), and C(28), and H-C(26) (δ (H) 4.66)/ MeCOO-C(27) (δ (C) 171.2) confirmed this arrangement. The HMBC plot of **1** also showed many informative ¹H,¹³C long-range correlations such as H-C(2)/C(1), C(3), C(14), and C(36) (Fig.). Combining the ¹H,¹H-COSY and HMBC data (Fig.), the planar structure of 1 could be completed.

The relative configurations of the stereogenic C-atoms of **1** were mainly determined by the NOESY correlation peaks (*Fig.*). However, it is noteworthy that the conformational mobility/flexibility of the 14-membered macrocycle of cembranoids renders the configurational assignments of the stereogenic centers by NOESY or NOE difference experiments somewhat risky. In the case of **1**, the relative configurations of C(5), C(9), and C(12) could not be unambiguously determined by interpreting the NOESY plot. The α -orientation of the substituents at these positions was assigned mainly by biogenetic considerations. The relative configurations at C(1), C(2), and C(21) were suggested by the stereo-chemistry of the *Diels – Alder* reaction as already found for the previously investigated cembrane dimers [4][7]. The configurations of other chiral centers (C(26), C(27), C(30), C(31), and C(33)) were determined by a combination of the NOESY experiments (*Fig.*) and comparison with the NMR data of the co-occurring **3**, for which the relative configurations of all stereogenic centers were secured by X-ray diffraction analysis [7]. Finally, the (*E*)-configurations of the C(22)=C(23) and C(34)=C(35) bonds were deduced from the ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts of the olefinic Me groups (*Table*) [3].

Ximaolide G (2) showed the molecular formula $C_{45}H_{68}O_{11}$ as established by HR-ESI-MS (m/z 807.4614 ($[M + Na]^+$)). Comparison of its ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data (*Table*) with those of compound 1 implied that the structures of the two compounds were closely related. In fact, the only difference between 2 and 1 is that one more Ac group (δ (C) 169.5 (s) and 21.3 (q)) was present in the structure of 2. Further, this Ac group was positioned at O-C(33) (downfield shift of the H-C(33) signal: δ (H) 5.11 in 1 and 6.08 in 2). This assignment was further confirmed by the acetylation of 1 to afford a compound that showed NMR spectra identical to those of compound 2. Hence, from these results, the structure of 2 was identified as the 33-*O*-acetyl derivative of ximaolide F (1), and named ximaolide G.

The crude Et_2O extract of the title soft coral exhibited cytotoxicity toward a limited panel of cancer cell lines. However, compounds **1** and **2**, like ximaolides A – E [7], were also shown to be inactive toward the growth of the A-549, KB, and P388 cells at a

concentration of 20 µg/ml. Other bioassays including antibacterial and anti-inflammatory assays are currently under way.

The research work was financially supported by the *National Marine* '863' *Project* (No. 2006AA09Z412 and 2007AA09Z447), the *Natural Science Foundation of China* (No. 20572116, 30730108, and 20721003), the *CAS Key Project* (grant KSCX2-YW-R-18), and the *STCSM Projects* (No. 07XD14036 and 06DZ22028). We are grateful to Mr. *L.-G. Yao* and *Y. Li* for the technical assistance and art work.

Experimental Part

General. Column chromatography (CC): commercial silica gel (SiO₂; Qing Dao Hai Yang Chemical Group Co.; 200–300 mesh) and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Biosciences). TLC: precoated SiO₂ plates (Yan Tai Zi Fu Chemical Group Co.; G60, F-254). Reversed-phase HPLC: Agilent 1100 liquid chromatograph; VWD-G1314A detector (at 210 nm); one semi-prep. ODS-HG-5 column (10 mm (i.d.) × 25 cm; 5 µm) for purification. Optical rotation: Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter. UV Spectra: 756-CRT spectrophotometer. IR Spectra: Nicolet Magna-FT-IR-750 spectrophotometer; $\tilde{\nu}_{max}$ in cm⁻¹. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectra: Varian Mercury-400 spectrometer; 400 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C; in CDCl₃; δ in ppm rel. to CDCl₃ as internal standard, J in Hz. HR-ESI-MS: Q-TOF-Micro LC/MS/MS spectrometer; in m/z.

Biological Material. The specimens of the *S. tortuosum* (TIXIER – DURIVAULT), identified by Prof. *R. L. Zhou* at the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, were collected off the coast of Ximao Island, Hainan Province, China, in December 2002, at a depth of -20 m, and were frozen immediately after collection. A voucher specimen (No. 02LS163) is available for inspection at the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, CAS.

Extraction and Isolation. The frozen animals (257 g; dry weight) were cut into pieces, and extracted exhaustively with acetone (3×1.5 l) at r.t. The org. extract was concentrated to give a residue, which was partitioned between Et₂O and H₂O. The Et₂O soln. was concentrated to give a dark brown residue (5.3 g), which was fractionated by CC (SiO₂, step gradient 0–100% acetone/light petroleum ether) yielding three cembranoid-containing fractions (R_f 0.35, 0.40, and 0.55 (light petroleum ether/acetone 2:1)) showing interesting blue TLC spots after spraying with H₂SO₄. The most polar fraction was further purified by CC (*Sephadex LH-20*, light petroleum ether/CHCl₃/MeOH 2:1:1) followed by reversed-phase HPLC (semi-prep. *ODS-HG-5*, MeCN/H₂O 75:25, 2.0 ml/min): pure **1** (3.7 mg; t_R 35.6 min) and **2** (4.6 mg; t_R 45.1 min).

Ximaolide F (= rel-(1E,5R,68,98,10R,12R,14aR,17R,21S,24R,26aR,26bR)-6-(Acetyloxy)-3,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,14,14a,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,26b-tetracosahydro-10,12-dihydroxy-2,6,10,13,17,21-hexamethyl-24-(1-methylethyl)-15,22,25-trioxo-5,9-epoxybenzo[1,2:3,4]dicyclotetradecene-26a(4H)-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester; **1**): Colorless oil. $[a]_{20}^{20} = +112.8 (c = 0.49, CHCl_3)$. IR (film): 3467, 2956, 1741, 1708, 1207, 1091. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Table*. ESI-MS: 765.4 ($[M + Na]^+$). HR-ESI-MS: 765.4603 ($[M + Na]^+$, C₄₃H₆₆NaO₁₀; calc. 765.4554).

Acetylation of Ximaolide F(1). A soln. of 1 (1.0 mg) in dry pyridine (0.5 ml) was treated with Ac₂O (0.5 ml) overnight at r.t. Standard workup followed by CC (SiO₂, light petroleum ether/acetone 12:1) gave 2 (0.9 mg).

Ximaolide G (=rel-(*1*E,5R,6S,9S,10R,12R,14aR,17R,21S,24R,26aR,26bR)-6,12-Bis(acetyloxy)-3,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,14,14a,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,26b-tetracosahydro-10-hydroxy-2,6,10,13, 17,21-hexamethyl-24-(1-methylethyl)-15,22,25-trioxo-5,9-epoxybenzo[1,2:3,4]dicyclotetradecene-26a(4H)-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester; **2**): Colorless oil. $[a]_{D}^{20} = +104.0 (c = 0.19, CHCl_3)$. IR (film): 3438, 2927, 1738, 1709, 1238, 1047. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Table*. ESI-MS 807.5 ([M + Na]⁺). HR-ESI-MS: 807.4614 ([M + Na]⁺, C₄₅H₆₈NaO⁺₁; calc. 807.4659).

Helvetica Chimica Acta - Vol. 91 (2008)

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-Y. Su, K.-H. Long, T.-S. Peng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 177.
- [2] J.-Y. Su, K.-H. Long, T.-S. Peng, L.-M. Zeng, Sci. China, Ser. B 1988, 31, 1172.
- [3] T. Kusumi, M. Igari, M. O. Ishitsuka, A. Ichikawa, Y. Itezono, N. Nakayama, H. J. Kakisawa, J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6286.
- [4] P. A. Leone, A. R. Carooll, J. C. Coll, G. V. Meehan, J. Nat. Prod. 1993, 56, 521.
- [5] M. Feller, A. Rudi, N. Berer, I. Goldberg, Z. Stein, Y. Benayahu, M. Schleyer, Y. Kashman, J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 1303.
- [6] L.-M. Zeng, W.-J. Lan, J.-Y. Su, G.-W. Zhang, X.-L. Feng, Y.-L. Liang, X.-P. Yang, J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 1915.
- [7] R. Jia, Y.-W. Guo, P. Chen, Y.-M. Yang, E. Mollo, M. Gavagnin, G. Cimino, J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1158.
- [8] X.-H. Yan, M. Gavagnin, G. Cimino, Y.-W. Guo, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 5313.
- [9] Y. Gao, F.-J. Nan, X.-X. Xu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 4811.
- [10] X.-C. Chen, C.-F. Fan, X.-X. Xu, Chin. J. Chem. 2000, 18, 775.
- [11] X.-H. Yan, L.-P. Lin, J. Ding, Y.-W. Guo, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2007**, *17*, 2661; W. Zhang, M. Gavagnin, Y.-W. Guo, E. Mollo, M. Geiselin, G. Cimino, *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 4725; R. Jia, Y.-W. Guo, E. Mollo, M. Gavagnin, G. Cimino, *J. Nat. Prod.* **2006**, *69*, 819.
- [12] R. Jia, Y.-W. Guo, E. Mollo, G. Cimino, Helv. Chim. Acta 2005, 88, 1028.

Received April 9, 2008